Association

Finance - Summary

For each contributing organisation, please list any spending on BCF schemes in 2014/15 and the minimum and actual contributions to the Better Care Fund pooled budget in 2015/16.

Organisation	Holds the pooled budget? (Y/N)	Spending on BCF schemes in 14/15	Minimum contribution (15/16)	Actual contribution (15/16)
Bracknell Forest Council	Υ	1,658	2,207	2,207
Bracknell & Ascot CCG	N	1,350	4,458	4,458
BCF Total		3,008	6,665	6,665

Approximately 25% of the BCF is paid for improving outcomes. If the planned improvements are not achieved, some of this funding may need to be used to alleviate the pressure on other services. Please outline your plan for maintaining services if planned improvements are not achieved.

The BCF plan is premised upon savings from fewer non-elective admissions to hospital and shorter hospital stays. Investment of £800k is planned in 2014/15 in new or additional services that are designed to achieve at least this level of saving. The continuation of this policy into 2015/16 is planned to increase savings to £1.2m in that year. It is planned to re-invested in-year in services to further improve local performance in these and other target areas of the BCF. There will be close monitoring of performance against targets in 2014/15 enabling corrective action to be taken in-year should it become evident that planned improvements are not being achieved. It is antipcterd that £200k contingency funding will be available each year for the purpose of funding corrective actions to ensure performance targets are met, and if necessary to contribute towards overspends elsewhere in the system that result from underachievement. £200k is the maximum additional investment it is envisaged that would be required to ensure delivery of planned performace targets.

Contingency plan:	2015/16	Ongoing	
	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)	800	800
	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)	200	200
Outcome 2 - 5% reduction in non-	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)		400
	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)		200

DRAFT \$uwpkvfus.xls

Please list the individual schemes on which you plan to spend the Better Care Fund, including any investment in 2014/15. Please expand the table if necessary.

BCF Investment	Lead provider	2014/15 spend		2014/15 benefits		2015/16 spend		2015/16 benefits	
		Recurrent	Non-recurrent	Recurrent	Non-recurrent	Recurrent	Non-recurrent	Recurrent	Non-recurrent
Protection of Social Care		1,291,000		1,291,000		2,264,000		2264000	
Services	BFC								
7 Services in NHS and social care to support discharges and prevent		277,000		to be determined		3,660,000		to be determined	
unnecessary admissions	BFC								
Better data sharing - NHS number as primary identifier	BFC	50,000		to be determined		50,000		to be determined	
Joint Assessment and Accountable Lead Professional	BFC	222,000		to be determined		571,000		to be determined	
Programme Support	BFC	120,000		to be determined		120,000		to be determined	

DRAFT \$uwpkvfus.xls

Association

Engiana

Outcomes and metrics

For each metric other than patient experience, please provide details of the expected outcomes and benefits of the scheme and how these will be measured.

Bracknell Forest Council and Bracknell and Ascot Clinical Commisioning Group are high performing organisations in relation to the proposed Better Care Fund metrics and financial management. In the current financial climate, and with demographic pressures, it will be a challenge to maintain current performance. However, it is anticipated, the approach identified in Bracknell Forest will result in improved performance and better outcomes for people. These will be measured by KPIs and monitored through the governance detailed below.

For the patient experience metric, either existing or newly developed local metrics or a national metric (currently under development) can be used for October 2015 payment. Please see the technical guidance for further detail. If you are using a local metric please provide details of the expected outcomes and benefits and how these will be measured, and include the relevant details in the table below

N/A - Plan is to use national metric when it is developed

For each metric, please provide details of the assurance process underpinning the agreement of the performance plans

Social Care indicators are monitored on a monthly basis and are reviewed and managed at the department's Performance Board and are reported quarterly to the Council's Executive Member, Overview and Scrutiny and Corporate Management Team.

The CCG has a target for non-elective admissions which is set at practice level and understood by each member practice (for 14/15 this will be 66 per 1000 weighted population). The practices come together at GP Council and review progress against targets on a monthly basis. Action plans are in place which reflect the particular needs of each practice population to keep people well and out of hospital.

If planning is being undertaken at multiple HWB level please include details of which HWBs this covers and submit a separate version of the metric template both for each HWB and for the multiple-HWB combined

N/A - There is one HWB

Metrics		Current Baseline	Performance underpinning	Performance underpinning
		(as at)	April 2015 payment	October 2015 payment
Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential and	Metric Value	740.7		
nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	Numerator	110	N/A	
	Denominator	14920	N/A	
		(April 2012 - March 2013)		(April 2014 - March 2015)
	Metric Value	4.2		
discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	Numerator	80	N/A	
	Denominator	1900	14/7	
		(April 2012 - March 2013)		(April 2014 - March 2015)
Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population (average per	Metric Value	3.4		
month)	Numerator	3		
	Denominator	3125		
		(insert time period)	(April - December 2014)	(January - June 2015)
Avoidable emergency admissions (composite measure)	Metric Value	2964		
	Numerator	3898		
	Denominator	131514		
		(TBC)	(April - September 2014)	(October 2014 - March 2015)
Patient / service user experience [for local measure, please list actual measure to be used. This does not need to be completed if the national			N/A	
metric (under development) is to be used]		(insert time period)		(insert time period)
Number of people supported by a multi-agency integrated approach	Metric Value			
	Numerator			
	Denominator			
		(insert time period)	(insert time period)	(insert time period)

DRAFT \$uwpkvfus.xls